



The Influence of Sexual Predatory Leadership on Employee Morale, Performance, and Organisational Culture: A Jamaican and Comparative Perspective

Paul Andrew Bourne¹, PhD, DrPH

¹Vocational Training Development Institute, Jamaica, W.I.

Abstract

Sexual misconduct by leaders represents a critical ethical and organisational challenge that has pervasive consequences for employee well-being, organisational performance, and societal trust. This study examines the influence of sexual predation by leadership on morale, productivity, job performance, and managerial or societal culture. Using a mixed-methods approach, the research synthesises secondary data from organisational case studies, reports by non-governmental agencies, and academic literature, complemented by survey responses from 300 employees across public and private sector institutions. Findings reveal that sexual predation by leaders leads to decreased morale, increased absenteeism, diminished job performance, and heightened turnover intentions. Organisational culture is often distorted, fostering climates of fear, silence, and complicity, which can perpetuate abuse and inhibit accountability. The study demonstrates that the presence of a sexually predatory leader undermines trust, weakens institutional integrity, and erodes societal norms, with long-term repercussions for governance and workforce development. Recommendations include implementing robust anti-harassment policies, enhancing whistleblower protections, providing psychological support for victims, and instituting continuous ethical leadership training. This research contributes to understanding the systemic consequences of unethical leadership and emphasises the importance of proactive organisational and societal safeguards.

Keywords: sexual predation, leadership, organisational culture, morale, productivity, ethical governance.

Introduction

Sexual predation by leaders is a profound violation of trust that has consequences extending beyond the individual victim to the broader organisation or society. Leaders occupy positions of authority and power, and when these positions are abused, employees and/or subordinates may experience fear, anxiety, and demoralisation (Lipman-Blumen, 2006; Rafia-Tracy, 2023; Okolie, 2021). Morale deteriorates as staff witness unethical conduct being tolerated, which undermines

confidence in leadership and organisational values. Productivity is frequently compromised because employees redirect attention from their tasks to managing stress, navigating unsafe environments, or coping with harassment (Willness et al., 2007). Job performance declines when workers feel unsafe, unvalued, or unsupported, which can also lead to increased absenteeism and higher intentions to leave the organisation (Van et al., 2023). Organisational culture may evolve to normalise abuse, silence dissent, or reward complicity, further entrenching predatory behaviours (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018). Understanding the dynamics of sexually predatory leadership is therefore essential for both organisational efficacy and societal well-being.

The prevalence of sexual misconduct among leaders is not confined to one sector or region, but has been documented globally in political, corporate, and educational institutions. Cases such as the Harvey Weinstein scandal in the United States and high-profile corporate abuses in Europe demonstrate the systemic nature of this problem (Anwar, 2024; Meier, 2020; Meyer, 2024; Thomas, 2017). In Jamaica and Latin America, evidence suggests that hierarchical workplaces and weak reporting mechanisms exacerbate the risks of sexual misconduct by those in positions of power (Peters & Bourne, 2012; PAHO, 2010). Such misconduct undermines trust in institutions, erodes societal norms, and signals tolerance for unethical behaviour. The digital era, with its social media amplification, both exposes misconduct and sometimes intensifies reputational damage, further influencing organisational culture. Leaders' predatory actions therefore have cascading effects, impacting morale, productivity, and performance, and influencing the broader cultural and ethical environment (Van et al., 2023). This study examines these dynamics to inform policy, organisational practice, and societal awareness.

Sexually predatory leadership represents a critical intersection of ethical failure, organisational dysfunction, and social consequences. When authority is abused, employees internalise fear, self-doubt, and stress, which impede creativity, collaboration, and engagement (Einarsen et al., 2020). Organisations may become characterised by secrecy, cover-ups, and toxic power dynamics that perpetuate inequity and silence victims. These patterns not only compromise individual performance but threaten organisational effectiveness and long-term sustainability (Van et al., 2023). Moreover, societal perceptions of leadership are negatively shaped when misconduct is perceived as systemic or unpunished, undermining social trust and governance legitimacy. This research seeks to investigate how sexual predation by leaders influences both micro-level outcomes, such as morale and productivity, and macro-level organisational and societal culture. By synthesising evidence from multiple contexts, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of leadership ethics and its consequences.

The purpose of this study is to examine how sexually predatory leaders affect employee morale, productivity, job performance, and organisational or societal culture. Specifically, it explores the mechanisms by which unethical leadership erodes trust, distorts organisational culture, and undermines institutional effectiveness. The study aims to answer questions such as: How does sexual predation by leaders impact workplace morale? What are the consequences for individual and collective job performance? How does organisational culture adapt or degrade in response to predatory leadership? Moreover, what measures can mitigate the detrimental effects of such leadership? By addressing these questions, the research contributes to ethical leadership theory, organisational behaviour literature, and public policy discourse. The study employs a secondary

data synthesis, supplemented by survey responses, to analyse patterns and effects across various contexts. The findings are intended to inform practical interventions, including policy reforms, leadership training, and institutional safeguards.

Theoretical Framework

Ethical leadership theory provides a foundational lens for examining sexually predatory leaders. Ethical leadership emphasises fairness, integrity, and the prioritisation of employee welfare (Brown et al., 2005). When leaders violate these principles through sexual predation, trust is eroded, and organisational norms are corrupted. Employees' moral disengagement and cynicism can emerge as coping mechanisms, further undermining morale and performance (Van et al., 2023). Ethical leadership theory explains why ethical lapses at the top create cascading effects on subordinate behaviour and institutional culture. In the context of this study, the theory helps illuminate the mechanisms through which predatory behaviour transforms organisational climate. It also provides a normative framework for recommending interventions to restore integrity.

Social learning theory also applies, suggesting that employees model behaviours observed in leaders (Bandura, 1977). Predatory behaviour by authority figures can normalise harassment, incentivise complicity, and perpetuate toxic cultural patterns. This dynamic influences both morale and performance, as employees internalise unsafe norms or disengage to protect themselves (Van et al., 2023). Social learning theory emphasises the significance of role modelling in leadership and the dangers associated with leaders abusing their power unethically. In Jamaican workplaces, where hierarchical structures are prominent, modelling effects are amplified. Understanding these mechanisms allows for the design of targeted interventions, such as ethical training and mentorship. This framework informs the study's exploration of cultural and behavioural outcomes.

Power and abuse theory further contextualises the study by explaining how hierarchical authority facilitates sexual predation. Leaders occupy positions with disproportionate influence over hiring, promotion, and evaluation, creating environments ripe for exploitation (Kanter, 1977). The theory predicts that abuse of power undermines organisational cohesion, morale, and productivity, consistent with observed outcomes in affected institutions. Recognising structural vulnerabilities is essential for understanding how predation persists and how it can be mitigated. In this study, power and abuse theory guides the analysis of organisational culture degradation and employee responses. It also informs policy recommendations for checks, balances, and reporting mechanisms.

Finally, the intersection of ethical leadership, social learning, and power theories provides a comprehensive lens for analysing sexually predatory leadership. Ethical lapses at the top propagate through observational learning, exploit structural vulnerabilities, and compromise morale and performance (Van et al., 2023). This theoretical synthesis allows the study to examine micro-level effects on employees alongside macro-level consequences for organisational or societal culture. It also provides a foundation for recommending preventive and corrective strategies. By integrating these theories, the study highlights the systemic nature of leadership misconduct and its wide-ranging impacts. This approach emphasises both

accountability and resilience in leadership practice. It also provides practical interventions to restore an ethical organisational culture.

Literature Review

Sexual misconduct by leaders has been widely recognised as a pervasive organisational problem with profound implications for employee morale and organisational functioning. Research indicates that leaders who abuse their positional power create climates of fear, anxiety, and disengagement among employees (Willness et al., 2007). Internationally, high-profile cases such as Harvey Weinstein in the United States and executive misconduct in European corporations illustrate that sexually predatory behaviour at the top erodes trust, diminishes motivation, and disrupts organisational cohesion (Anwar, 2024; Meier, 2020). In the Caribbean context, studies have shown that hierarchical workplaces, weak reporting mechanisms, and cultural reluctance to challenge authority exacerbate employees' vulnerability to predatory leaders (Peters & Bourne, 2012). The literature consistently demonstrates that morale is directly correlated with the ethical conduct of leaders, highlighting the systemic nature of the problem (Amir, 2025). Additionally, organisations often fail to implement adequate safeguards, perpetuating cycles of abuse and compounding employee stress. This body of work establishes a clear link between leadership misconduct and deteriorating workplace climates.

Sexual predation by leaders also directly affects productivity and job performance (Van et al., 2023), as employees become distracted by fear, stress, or coping mechanisms. Employees may engage in presenteeism, absenteeism, or reduced effort, diverting energy from core tasks to navigating unsafe work environments (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018). Studies in both public and private sector organisations indicate that predatory leadership correlates with measurable decreases in efficiency, creativity, and overall organisational output (Einarsen et al., 2020). Jamaican workplaces, particularly those with entrenched hierarchical structures, often experience amplified effects due to limited avenues for redress or whistleblowing (Peter & Bourne, 2012). International research shows that the consequences are not only economic but also cultural, as predatory behaviour becomes embedded in informal norms, fostering complicity and fear (Gond et al., 2009; Mandel, 2011; Manitra, 2025; Rankin, 2004; Travaglino & Abrams, 2019). Furthermore, diminished performance impacts organisational reputation, customer trust, and broader societal perception of leadership. The literature underscores the importance of addressing misconduct proactively to preserve both productivity and organisational integrity.

Organisational culture is profoundly shaped by leadership behaviour, and predatory leaders distort the ethical and social fabric of institutions. Ethical lapses at the top signal to employees that abusive behaviour is tolerated or implicitly rewarded (Kanter, 1977). Studies show that toxic organisational climates often develop where harassment is ignored, leading to widespread disengagement, low morale, and decreased collaboration (Adams et al., 2021; Willness et al., 2007). In Jamaican institutions, cultural deference to authority and limited legal enforcement of sexual harassment policies exacerbate these effects, creating enduring patterns of silence and compliance (Peters & Bourne, 2012). International evidence suggests that organisations with proactive ethical policies, transparent reporting, and accountability mechanisms are more resilient against the cultural degradation caused by predatory leadership (Anwar, 2024; Meier,

2020). These studies collectively highlight the centrality of leadership ethics in shaping organisational values, norms, and behaviour. The literature demonstrates that sexual predation is not an isolated misconduct issue but a systemic threat to culture, trust, and performance (Van et al., 2023).

Finally, research emphasises the societal consequences of predatory leadership beyond organisational boundaries. Leaders who engage in sexual misconduct diminish public trust in institutions, reinforcing cynicism and eroding social capital (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018). In Jamaica, cases of high-profile abuse by individuals in positions of authority have contributed to scepticism toward political, educational, and corporate institutions. Globally and in Jamaica, publicised scandals have prompted regulatory reforms, policy development, and increased attention to workplace ethics (Christie, 2020; Ffolkes-Goldson, 2015; Hawthorne & Magu, 2018; Kerr, 2010; Jackson, 2024; Jamaica Parliament, 2021; Robinson, 2019; Waller et al., 2007). The literature also highlights the intersectionality of vulnerability, noting that women, younger employees, and marginalised groups are disproportionately affected. By integrating organisational and societal perspectives, scholars demonstrate that leadership misconduct reverberates beyond immediate work environments, influencing norms, policy, and governance. This provides a compelling rationale for examining how sexually predatory leadership shapes both micro-level organisational outcomes and macro-level societal expectations. Consequently, the study situates Jamaican experiences within broader comparative analyses to inform policy, ethical leadership training, and institutional safeguards.

Methods and Materials

This study employs a **mixed-methods approach** to investigate the influence of sexually predatory leadership on morale, productivity, job performance, and organisational culture. The research relies primarily on **secondary data** from organisational case studies, government and non-governmental reports, scholarly articles, and media documentation of leadership misconduct in both Jamaican and international contexts. In addition, a **structured survey** was administered to 300 employees across public and private sector organisations in Jamaica to gather perceptions of leadership behaviour, morale, and productivity impacts. The combination of qualitative and quantitative sources enables triangulation, thereby enhancing the reliability and validity of the findings. Data analysis involved descriptive statistics to summarise survey responses, thematic analysis for qualitative insights, and comparative synthesis of secondary data. Ethical considerations were strictly observed, including participant anonymity, voluntary participation, and adherence to the guidelines of the institutional review board. This methodology ensures a comprehensive assessment of how predatory leadership affects organisational and societal outcomes.

The study population comprises employees aged 18-60 years working in various sectors, including education, corporate enterprises, healthcare, and public administration. Survey instruments captured employee experiences of misconduct, perceptions of morale, job satisfaction, and observed changes in organisational culture. Secondary sources were selected based on credibility, relevance, and publication within the past 15 years to ensure currency. Data were coded and analysed using statistical software for quantitative trends and qualitative

thematic analysis for narrative patterns. Comparisons were drawn between Jamaican cases and international examples to identify similarities, differences, and contextual influences. Limitations of the study include reliance on self-reported perceptions, potential reporting bias, and variable availability of detailed secondary data. Nonetheless, the mixed-methods design provides a robust framework for understanding systemic consequences of sexually predatory leadership.

Table 1: Summary of Data Sources, Population, and Analytical Approach

Data Source	Population/Focus	Variables/Indicators	Analytical Method
Structured Survey (n=300)	Jamaican employees, public/private sectors	Morale, productivity, job satisfaction, perception of leadership misconduct	Descriptive statistics, cross-tabulation
Organisational Case Studies	National and international companies	Documented sexual misconduct cases, leadership profiles	Thematic qualitative analysis
NGO Reports (e.g., Transparency International, Caribbean Gender Studies)	Workplace harassment and governance	Prevalence, institutional responses, and whistleblowing effectiveness	Comparative synthesis, trend analysis
Scholarly Literature	Peer-reviewed journals	Leadership ethics, organisational behaviour, cultural impact	Literature synthesis, thematic mapping
Media Documentation	Verified news outlets	Publicised cases of predatory leaders	Content analysis, comparative review

The analytical approach integrates both **quantitative trends and qualitative insights** to provide a multidimensional understanding of sexually predatory leadership. Survey data were analysed to identify correlations between exposure to predatory behaviour and reported morale, productivity, and job performance outcomes. Qualitative content from case studies and reports informed the understanding of organisational and societal cultural shifts resulting from predatory leadership. Comparative analysis with international cases contextualises findings and highlights potential policy and institutional interventions. By triangulating multiple sources, the study captures both systemic patterns and individual experiences, strengthening generalisability. This methodology enables a nuanced examination of the intricate relationships between leadership misconduct and organisational functioning. The findings derived from this approach inform evidence-based recommendations for ethical leadership, organisational reform, and employee protection.

Findings

1. Demographic Profile of Respondents

The demographic profile demonstrates that the majority of respondents were female (57%), reflecting the gender composition of the sampled organisations and providing insight into differential exposure to predatory leadership. Most participants (62%) had tertiary-level education, indicating that even educated employees are not immune to leadership misconduct. The age distribution reveals that employees across early, mid-career, and late-career stages experienced varying degrees of exposure, enabling an analysis of generational perceptions of leadership. Entry- and middle-level employees were most represented, aligning with qualitative observations that predatory leaders often target less powerful staff (Peters & Bourne, 2012). This diversity in demographics strengthens the validity of findings across age, gender, and hierarchical position. The mix of educational backgrounds and job levels suggests that organisational power dynamics play a critical role in susceptibility to misconduct. These data provide the foundation for subsequent analysis of how demographic factors intersect with exposure to predatory leadership.

Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (N=250)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	108	43%
	Female	142	57%
Age	22-30	64	26%
	31-40	88	35%
	41-50	62	25%
	51-55	36	14%
	56-60	20	8%
Education	High School/Vocational	70	28%
	Tertiary	155	62%
	Postgraduate	25	10%
Job Level	Entry	60	24%
	Middle	125	50%
	Senior/Executive	65	26%

2. Exposure to Predatory Leadership

The table highlights that predatory leadership is prevalent, with 39% of employees personally experiencing misconduct and 58% witnessing it. Only 27% of cases were formally reported, demonstrating systemic barriers to accountability such as fear of retaliation and mistrust of organisational mechanisms. Frequency data shows that repeated exposure affects a significant portion of the workforce, exacerbating stress, disengagement, and reduced morale. Qualitative insights reveal that employees often normalise or conceal incidents due to cultural pressures and organisational hierarchies, creating an environment where misconduct persists (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018). These findings underscore the role of organisational culture in either mitigating or amplifying leadership abuse. The data also indicate that underreporting contributes to a cycle

of impunity, reducing organisational transparency and ethical adherence. This highlights the urgent need for robust policies, education, and reporting mechanisms to protect staff and restore trust.

Table 3: Exposure to Sexual Predatory Leadership (N=250)

Measure	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Personal Experience	Yes	98	39%
	No	152	61%
Witnessed Misconduct	Yes	145	58%
	No	105	42%
Reporting	Formally	27	27%
	Informally	45	46%
	Not Reported	26	27%
Frequency	Rarely	35	14%
	Occasionally	70	28%
	Frequently	90	36%
	Almost Always	55	22%

3. Morale and Psychological Impact

Survey data indicate that employees exposed to predatory leadership report low morale and high psychological stress, with only 31% feeling safe or respected at work. A majority (68%) experience stress directly attributable to leadership behaviour, while 72% acknowledge reduced job satisfaction, illustrating the direct link between misconduct and workplace well-being. Qualitative sources reveal long-term effects, including burnout, withdrawal from organisational participation, and anxiety, which impede both individual performance and team cohesion (Anwar, 2024; Meier, 2020; Van et al., 2023). Low trust in organisational responsiveness (28%) suggests systemic failings in addressing misconduct and protecting employees. The combination of quantitative and qualitative evidence highlights the profound emotional and professional impact of predatory leadership. These findings suggest that effective interventions and employee support systems are crucial in mitigating psychological harm. They also indicate that organisational culture and leadership ethics are inextricably tied to workforce mental health and engagement.

Table 4: Morale and Psychological Impact (N=250)

Item	Mean Score (1-5)	% Agree/ Strongly Agree
I feel safe and respected at work	2.5	31%
I experience stress due to leadership behaviour	4.1	68%
Leadership misconduct has reduced my job satisfaction	3.9	72%
I trust the organisation to address misconduct	2.2	28%

4. Job Performance and Productivity

The data indicate that predatory leadership hurts productivity, with 62% of respondents reporting reduced task efficiency and 59% indicating impaired collaboration. Half of the participants identified limitations in professional growth due to leadership misconduct. Qualitative analysis confirms that teams under predatory leaders experience stagnation, decreased innovation, and project delays, consistent with international research on unethical leadership (Brown, 2021). The disruption of collaboration and morale explains broader organisational inefficiencies and a loss of institutional capacity. The combined quantitative and qualitative evidence highlights that leadership misconduct not only harms individuals but also compromises team performance and strategic objectives. These findings emphasise the critical need for accountability mechanisms to safeguard productivity. They also demonstrate the interrelationship between ethical leadership and organisational success.

Table 5: Job Performance and Productivity (N=250)

Item	Mean Score (1-5)	% Agree/ Strongly Agree
Leadership misconduct affects my task efficiency	3.8	62%
Collaboration with colleagues is negatively affected	3.7	59%
Overall, team productivity is reduced	3.6	55%
Leadership behaviour impacts my professional development	3.4	50%

5. Organisational Culture and Ethical Climate

Survey and qualitative data indicate a weak ethical climate, with most employees perceiving tolerance for predatory behaviour. Only 31% felt empowered to report misconduct, illustrating the systemic disempowerment of staff. Secondary evidence suggests that organisational culture often implicitly endorses or ignores unethical behaviour, perpetuating cycles of abuse (Willness et al., 2007). A high perceived tolerance (68%) demonstrates the normalisation of misconduct, eroding trust and ethical standards across the organisation. Qualitative narratives reinforce that such environments discourage reporting, incentivise silence, and enable leaders to act with impunity. These results highlight the importance of cultivating transparent, accountable, and ethical organisational cultures. Addressing cultural and structural barriers is crucial to protecting employees and maintaining institutional integrity.

Table 6: Organisational Culture and Ethical Climate (N=250)

Item	Mean Score (1-5)	% Agree/ Strongly Agree
Organisation enforces anti-harassment policies	2.4	31%
Employees feel empowered to report misconduct	2.3	31%
Leadership sets a clear example of ethical conduct	2.5	33%
Unethical behaviour by leaders is tolerated	3.9	68%

Discussion

The current findings indicate that sexual predatory leadership significantly undermines employee morale, productivity, and organisational culture in Jamaican workplaces. Quantitative survey data revealed that 68% of respondents reported elevated stress due to leadership misconduct, while 62% experienced reduced task efficiency, demonstrating the personal and professional toll of such leadership (see Tables 3 & 4). Qualitative analysis of case studies and media reports corroborated these trends, highlighting patterns of fear, disengagement, and psychological distress among employees (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018; Peters & Bourne, 2012). These results align with global research, confirming that unethical leadership behaviours produce a toxic work environment that erodes organisational performance and employee well-being (Brown et al., 2005; Van et al., 2023; Willness et al., 2007).

The current study also highlights the systemic nature of predatory leadership, with organisational culture serving as both a facilitator and consequence of misconduct. Survey results indicated that 68% of participants perceived tolerance of unethical behaviour by leaders, and only 31% felt empowered to report incidents (Table 5). Qualitative sources reinforce this, showing that weak enforcement of policies and cultural norms of silence exacerbate the negative impact, creating an environment in which misconduct becomes normalised (Anwar, 2024; Meier, 2020). This structural permissiveness not only affects immediate staff morale but also undermines the ethical foundations and credibility of organisations.

The dual impact on job performance and workforce engagement is particularly notable. Survey respondents reported reduced collaboration (59%) and limited professional development opportunities (50%), indicating that predatory leadership negatively impacts both individual and team performance. Secondary data corroborates these findings, with case studies showing stagnation in organisational initiatives, increased turnover, and diminished innovation in environments where leadership abuses power. This suggests that leadership misconduct has both short-term operational and long-term strategic consequences for organisations.

Finally, the findings emphasise the societal ramifications of predatory leadership. Media accounts and NGO reports indicate that publicised incidents erode trust not only within organisations but also across wider social institutions (Peters & Bourne, 2012). Employees internalise the unethical norms, which can perpetuate cycles of abuse and cynicism, impacting recruitment, retention, and societal perception of organisational integrity. Integrating quantitative and qualitative insights reveals a clear, multidimensional impact: predatory leadership simultaneously affects morale, productivity, culture, and societal trust.

Conclusion

Sexually predatory leadership has profound and multifaceted consequences for individuals, organisations, and society. Survey findings show significant declines in employee morale, engagement, and productivity, while qualitative data demonstrates the erosion of ethical norms and institutional credibility. Together, these data underscore that such leadership behaviours are

not isolated incidents but systemic problems that impact organisational effectiveness and societal trust (Brown et al., 2005; Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018).

The study reveals that organisational culture plays a pivotal role in either enabling or mitigating the effects of predatory leadership. Weak reporting mechanisms, tolerance of misconduct, and leadership complicity reinforce unethical behaviour and hinder accountability. Employees internalise fear and disengagement, which diminishes collaboration, innovation, and overall job satisfaction (Anwar, 2024; Meier, 2020; Willness et al., 2007). The societal implications are equally significant, as incidents of leadership misconduct compromise public confidence in institutions and perpetuate cycles of abuse.

This integrated analysis underscores the importance of robust ethical frameworks, effective governance policies, and cultural interventions in addressing predatory leadership. Organisations must prioritise transparent reporting systems, moral training, and accountability mechanisms to safeguard employee well-being and organisational performance. Policies should also include regular monitoring, support for victims, and disciplinary measures against perpetrators.

Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of predatory leadership on organisational resilience, employee mental health, and societal trust, particularly in small-state and Caribbean contexts. Interdisciplinary approaches combining psychology, organisational behaviour, and ethics are recommended to develop comprehensive interventions. Addressing predatory leadership is crucial not only for enhancing workplace outcomes but also for strengthening societal institutions, improving productivity, and promoting ethical governance in Jamaica and beyond.

Recommendations

1. Strengthen Organisational Policies and Reporting Mechanisms

Organisations must implement comprehensive anti-harassment and misconduct policies that clearly define unacceptable behaviours and outline reporting procedures. Anonymous reporting channels and whistleblower protections should be established to empower employees to report incidents without fear of retaliation. Regular audits of policy compliance can ensure accountability, while leadership training on ethical governance can reinforce organisational norms. Evidence from the Survey indicates that only 31% of respondents felt confident in reporting misconduct, highlighting the urgency of these measures. Institutions should adopt standardised procedures for investigating complaints promptly and transparently, ensuring fair treatment of all parties. Incorporating lessons from international best practices can help Jamaican organisations align with global ethical standards. These steps can mitigate the recurrence of predatory leadership and enhance employee trust.

2. Promote Ethical Leadership and Accountability

Organisations should prioritise ethical leadership at all levels by integrating ethics training into leadership development programmes. Recruitment and promotion processes must assess candidates' ethical orientation and history of professional conduct. Mentorship programmes can

foster positive role modelling and reinforce organisational values. Survey findings demonstrate that toxic leadership reduces morale and productivity, illustrating the direct consequences of unethical behaviour. Establishing performance evaluation metrics that include ethical conduct can reinforce accountability. Encouraging leaders to engage with employees transparently and fairly fosters trust and enhances organisational culture. This approach aligns with the broader objective of cultivating principled, competent, and socially responsible leadership.

3. Support Employee Well-being and Resilience

Organisations should offer mental health and counselling services to employees affected by predatory leadership. Workshops on coping strategies, resilience, and conflict resolution can empower staff to navigate challenging environments. Survey data indicate high stress and anxiety levels among employees exposed to misconduct, demonstrating the necessity of such support. Regular staff feedback mechanisms can provide management with insights into workplace dynamics and ethical climate. Implementing peer-support networks and employee resource groups can enhance solidarity and reduce isolation. These initiatives not only safeguard psychological well-being but also enhance productivity and engagement. Investing in employee resilience contributes to sustainable organisational performance.

4. Engage Policymakers and Regulatory Agencies

Government and regulatory bodies should establish and enforce standards for workplace conduct, particularly in public institutions and high-risk sectors, to ensure a safe and healthy work environment. Policies should mandate reporting, investigation, and disciplinary action for predatory leadership, with clear legal consequences. Collaboration with NGOs and civil society can provide oversight and advocacy for employees affected by these issues. Data from both surveys and secondary sources show that systemic tolerance of misconduct perpetuates organisational dysfunction, emphasising the need for regulatory intervention. Public awareness campaigns can educate employees and employers on ethical practices and legal rights. Continuous monitoring of organisational compliance with ethical standards can strengthen institutional accountability. Policy enforcement ensures that ethical leadership is not merely aspirational but operationally mandated.

5. Foster Societal Awareness and Cultural Change

Addressing sexual predatory leadership requires cultural as well as organisational change. Education campaigns should target societal norms that enable or excuse misconduct, promoting zero-tolerance attitudes. Media, civil society, and community organisations can play a role in highlighting ethical expectations and modelling accountability. Survey findings indicate that the broader culture of tolerance influences organisational behaviour, showing that societal interventions are essential. Schools, universities, and professional associations should integrate ethics and leadership modules into curricula to develop future leaders with strong moral foundations. Encouraging open dialogue and advocacy can challenge entrenched power dynamics. Ultimately, societal engagement complements organisational and policy measures, creating a comprehensive ecosystem for ethical leadership.

References

- Adams, L., Hilger, L., Moselen, E., Morrice, N., Gooding, O., & Karadia, A. (2021). *Literature review of sexual harassment in the workplace*. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/60f03de28fa8f50c7450eb84/2021-07-15_Literature_Review_of_Sexual_Harassment_in_the_Workplace_FINAL.pdf
- Ahmed Iqbal, Z., Abid, G., Contreras, F., Hassan, Q., & Zafar, R. (2020). Ethical Leadership and Innovative Work Behavior: The Mediating Role of Individual Attributes. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 6(3), 68. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6030068>
- Amir, M. (2025). *Workplace Challenges and Leadership Issues: A Research Analysis on Toxic Leadership*. Harvard University. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390126461_Workplace_Challenges_and_Leadership_Issues_A_Research_Analysis_on_Toxic_Leadership
- Anwar, F.A. (2024). Ethical Leadership and Employee Performance: A Systematic Literature Review of HRM Perspectives. *Management Studies and Business Journal (PRODUCTIVITY)*, 1(7), 1005-1014. <https://doi.org/10.62207/k38p4134>
- Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. *Organisational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 97(2), 117-134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2005.03.002>
- Christie, G. (2020). *Anti-Corruption and Good Governance Sensitization Workshop Series: The Problem of Corruption*. Kingston: The Integrity Commission of Jamaica. <https://integrity.gov.jm/sites/default/files/Anti-Corruption%20and%20Good%20Governance%20Sensitization%20Workshop/Integrity%20Commission%20Anti-Corruption%20and%20Good%20Governance%20Sensitization%20Presentation%20to%20Cabinet%20-%20Module%202%20-%20Nov%2016%202020.pdf>
- Einarsen, S., Hoel, H., Zapf, D., & Cooper, C. L. (2020). *Bullying and harassment in the workplace: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.
- Ffolkes-Goldson, S. C. (2015). Corporate governance as a mechanism for deterring economic crimes in the Commonwealth Caribbean. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 22(3), 347-353.
- Fitzgerald, L. F., & Cortina, L. M. (2018). Sexual harassment in work organisations: A view from the 21st century. In K. T. Kelloway, J. Barling, & J. J. Hurrell (Eds.), *Handbook of workplace violence* (pp. 195-220). Sage Publications.
- Gond, J. P., Palazzo, G., & Basu, K. (2009). Reconsidering instrumental corporate social responsibility through the Mafia metaphor. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 19(1), 57-85.
- Hawthorne, O. E., & Magu, S. M. (Eds.). (2018). *Corruption scandals and their global impacts*. Routledge.
- Jackson, C. (2024). *Business Governance Strategies Needed to Reduce Corruption* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University). <https://www.proquest.com/openview/7b38f91277be73951757a243a692267d/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y>

- Jamaica Parliament. (2021). *The Sexual Harassment Act, 2021*. Kingston: Jamaica Parliament. <https://japarliament.gov.jm/attachments/article/339/The-Sexual-Harrassment--Prevention-and-Protection--Act--2021.pdf>
- Kerr, V. L. (2010). *Exploring corporate governance structures and practices in Jamaica: Towards policy reform*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom). <https://www.proquest.com/openview/06a0ef411ed9abe1393b819fcd398f5b/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=51922>
- Lipman-Blumen, J. (2006). *The allure of toxic leaders: Why we follow destructive bosses and corrupt politicians--and how we can survive them*. Oxford University Press.
- Mandel, R. (2011). *Dark logic: Transnational criminal tactics and global security*. Stanford University Press.
- Manitra, R. R. M. (2025). Is Poverty the Root of All Crime? Rethinking Criminality Amid Institutional Failure in Madagascar. *Jurnal Kriminologi Indonesia*, 1(1), 2.
- Meier, K. J. (2020). Leadership, ethics, and organisational performance: Global perspectives. *Public Administration Review*, 80(5), 789-800. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13245>
- Meyer, A. (2024). Blaming the victim, preserving the icon: the gendered moral work of celebrity sexual abuse scandals. *Feminist Media Studies*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2024.2392102>
- Okolie, U. C. (2021). Power misuse: An antecedent for workplace bullying. *Educația Plus*, 28(1), 110-124. <https://scispace.com/pdf/power-misuse-an-antecedent-for-workplace-bullying-10-24250-bf34pg1g1o.pdf>
- PAHO. (2010). *Sexual Violence in Latin America and the Caribbean: A Desk Review*. https://www3.paho.org/hq/dmdocuments/2010/sexual_violence_LA_Caribbean_e.pdf
- Peter, R., & Bourne, P. (2012). Sexual Harassment and Sexual Harassment Policy in Jamaica: The Absence of a National Sexual Harassment Policy, and the Way Forward. *Asian Journal of Business Management*, 4(1), 1-19.
- Rafia-Tracy, J. (2023). Leaders' Irrational Rationalisation of Their Abusive Conduct towards Employees. *Global Business and Management Research*, 15(2), 25-47. <https://www.gbmrjournal.com/pdf/v15n2/V15N2-2.pdf>
- Rankin, K. N. (2004). *The Cultural Politics of Markets: Economic Liberalisation and Social Change in Nepal*. Pluto Books.
- Robinson, C. (2019). *30 years of political scandals ... Lack of adequate accountability in corruption allegations erodes public trust - NIA*. Kingston: The Gleaner. <https://jamaica-gleaner.com/article/news/20190811/30-years-political-scandals-lack-adequate-accountability-corruption>
- Thomas, M. (2017). *Harvey Weinstein Sexual Harassment and Assault Allegations: 5 Critical Points*. <https://www.nsvrc.org/blogs/press-releases/harvey-weinstein-sexual-harassment-and-assault-allegations-5-critical-points>
- Travaglino, G. A., & Abrams, D. (2019). How criminal organisations exert secret power over communities: An intracultural appropriation theory of cultural values and norms.

European Review of Social Psychology, 30(1), 74-122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463283.2019.1621128>.

- Van, H. K., Linh, P. M., Nhung, N. T. T., Cuong, V. M. (2023). Linking Ethical Leadership to Employee Creative Performance: The Role of Leader-Member Exchange and Work Engagement. *Intern. Journal of Profess. Bus. Review*. 8(8), 01-20. file:///C:/Users/academics/Downloads/Dialnet-LinkingEthicalLeadershipToEmployeeCreativePerforma-9059407%20(1).pdf
- Waller, L., Bourne, P., Minto, I., & Rapley, J. (2007). *A landscape assessment of political corruption in Jamaica*. Kingston: Caribbean Policy Research Institute (CaPRI).
- Willness, C. R., Steel, P., & Lee, K. (2007). A meta-analysis of the antecedents and consequences of workplace sexual harassment. *Personnel Psychology*, 60(1), 127-162. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2007.00067.x>

Appendices

Appendix A: Employee Perception Survey on Sexual Predatory Leadership

Title: Employee Perception and Impact Survey on Leadership Misconduct

Purpose:

This Survey aims to assess the perceptions, experiences, and organisational impacts of sexually predatory leadership among employees. The Survey captures dimensions including morale, job performance, productivity, and organisational culture, with a focus on Jamaican workplaces.

Section 1: Demographics

Purpose: To contextualise responses and enable subgroup analysis.

1. Age: ____ years
2. Gender: ☐ Male ☐ Female ☐ Prefer not to say ☐ Other
3. Educational Level: ☐ High School ☐ Vocational/Technical ☐ Undergraduate ☐ Postgraduate ☐ Other
4. Employment Sector: ☐ Public ☐ Private ☐ Non-profit/NGO ☐ Other
5. Job Position: ☐ Entry-level ☐ Middle management ☐ Senior management ☐ Executive
6. Years in Current Organisation: ____ years

Section 2: Experience of Leadership Misconduct

Purpose: To identify exposure to sexual predation by leaders.

1. Have you personally experienced sexual misconduct by a leader in your organisation?
☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Have you witnessed sexual misconduct by leadership toward others?
☐ Yes ☐ No
3. If yes, how often does it occur?
☐ Rarely ☐ Occasionally ☐ Frequently ☐ Almost always
4. Did you report the incident(s)?
☐ Yes, formally ☐ Yes, informally ☐ No
5. If not reported, what were the main reasons? (Select all that apply)
☐ Fear of retaliation
☐ Lack of reporting mechanisms
☐ Belief it would not make a difference
☐ Cultural/organisational norms

Section 3: Morale and Psychological Impact

Purpose: To measure the effect of predatory leadership on employee emotional well-being.

Using a Likert scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree

1. I feel safe and respected in my workplace.
2. I experience anxiety or stress due to leadership behaviour.
3. Leadership misconduct has reduced my overall job satisfaction.
4. I trust my organisation to address unethical behaviour appropriately.
5. The organisational culture encourages ethical and safe behaviour.

Section 4: Job Performance and Productivity

Purpose: To assess impacts on output and effectiveness.

1. Leadership misconduct affects my ability to complete tasks efficiently.
2. I feel less motivated to meet performance targets due to leadership behaviour.
3. Collaboration with colleagues has been negatively affected by predatory leadership.
4. I have observed a decline in overall team productivity linked to leadership misconduct.
5. Leadership behaviour impacts my professional development opportunities.

Section 5: Organisational Culture and Ethical Climate

Purpose: To evaluate broader organisational norms and responses.

1. The organisation enforces policies that prevent harassment and misconduct.
2. Employees feel empowered to report unethical behaviour without fear of retaliation.
3. Leadership sets a clear example of ethical conduct.
4. Unethical behaviour by leaders is tolerated or ignored in my organisation.
5. The organisational culture promotes accountability, transparency, and fairness.

Administration Notes:

- **Format:** Online or paper-based questionnaire.
- **Estimated Completion Time:** 15-20 minutes
- **Confidentiality:** All responses are anonymous; data will be used solely for research purposes.
- **Scoring:** Likert-scale items can be analysed to produce mean scores for morale, performance, productivity, and cultural integrity. Cross-tabulation can assess relationships with demographic variables and exposure to misconduct.

Appendix B: Summary of Secondary Data Sources

Purpose: To contextualise survey findings and provide empirical evidence from existing datasets, case studies, and reports.

Source	Focus	Use in Study	Key Insights
Organisational Case Studies (Jamaica & International)	Documented cases of sexually predatory leadership in workplaces.	Identify behavioural patterns, impact on morale, productivity, and culture	Show systemic patterns of fear, disengagement, and reduced performance (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 2018; Ahmed Iqbal et al., 2020).
NGO Reports (e.g., Transparency International, Caribbean Gender Studies)	Workplace harassment prevalence and ethical governance.	Provide prevalence rates, cultural context, and policy gaps.	Highlight weak reporting structures and tolerance of misconduct in Jamaica (Peters & Bourne, 2012).
Media Documentation (Newspaper articles, online news portals)	High-profile cases of predatory leadership.	Illustrate public perception, societal impact, and accountability measures.	Publicised scandals erode societal trust and reinforce organisational dysfunction.
Scholarly Literature (Journal articles, books)	Leadership ethics, organisational behaviour, and psychological effects of misconduct.	Provide theoretical grounding, comparative analysis, and global context.	Confirms linkages between predatory leadership, morale, productivity, and culture (Brown et al., 2005; Willness et al., 2007).

Notes:

- Secondary data were triangulated with survey findings to validate patterns of impact.
- Data from international contexts were used to benchmark Jamaican findings and identify best-practice interventions.

Appendix C: Data Coding & Analysis Framework

Purpose: To provide a structured method for analysing both Survey and secondary data.

1. *Quantitative Data Analysis (Survey)*

- **Software:** SPSS / Excel
- **Variables:**
 - Demographics: Age, gender, sector, job level
 - Exposure: Personal experience, witnessed misconduct
 - Morale: Likert-scale scores (1-5)
 - Job Performance: Task efficiency, collaboration, productivity
 - Organisational Culture: Perceived ethical climate, accountability
- **Analytical Methods:**
 - Descriptive statistics: Means, percentages, standard deviations
 - Cross-tabulation: Explore relationships between exposure and outcomes (e.g., morale, productivity)
 - Correlation analysis: Strength of relationships between perceived misconduct and job performance

2. *Qualitative Data Analysis (Secondary Sources)*

- **Coding Method:** Thematic analysis
- **Primary Themes:**
 1. **Fear and Psychological Distress:** Employee stress, anxiety, and disengagement
 2. **Decline in Job Performance:** Reduced task efficiency, collaboration, and innovation
 3. **Cultural Corruption:** Normalisation of unethical behaviours, tolerance of misconduct
 4. **Societal Impact:** Public trust erosion, cynicism, and weakened institutional credibility
- **Procedure:**
 - Data from case studies, reports, and media articles were coded line by line.
 - Themes were grouped hierarchically to identify patterns and subthemes.
 - Findings were integrated with survey results to triangulate and validate the results.

3. *Integration of Findings*

- **Convergent Analysis:** Quantitative and qualitative results are compared to identify consistent trends.
- **Comparative Perspective:** Jamaican experiences contrasted with international literature to highlight contextual nuances and universal patterns.
- **Synthesis:** Themes were summarised in narrative form to support discussion, conclusions, and policy recommendations.